

March 21, 2006

CLASS 15: TENSE

OVERVIEW

- use of tenses in English (morphosyntactic vs. semantic)
- framework for the semantics of tense

TIMES

Events (e_1, e_2, \dots) are tied to time (t_n), in most cases certainly to utterance time (u_s).

- (1) *past tense*
 - a. $e_1 < e_2$
 - b. $e_1 < u_s$
- (2)
 - a. Miss Emma ate tuna yesterday.
 - b. $\exists e[\text{Eating}(e) \wedge \text{Agent}(e, \text{Miss Emma}) \wedge \text{Patient}(e, \text{tuna}) \wedge \text{Time}(e, \text{yesterday})]$
- (3)
 - a. Miss Emma ate tuna.
 - b. $\exists e[\text{Eating}(e) \wedge \text{Agent}(e, \text{Miss Emma}) \wedge \text{Patient}(e, \text{tuna}) \wedge \text{Time}(e, e_1 < u_s)]$

- for simple illustration, time can be diagrammed on a scale

But (past) tense is more than expressing some event at some time (in the past).

- (4)
 - a. I didn't turn off the stove.
 - b. Whenever you were late for work, you didn't turn off the stove.
 - c. Last month, I went for a hike.
 - d. I have several Masters students. ??He got an A.

Reichenbachian approach: *speech time* (S), *event time* (E), *reference time* (R).

- (5) English past tense: $R < S, R = E$

- but what exactly is R? \Rightarrow Klein: *topic time* (T): $T < S, *T = E$ but $E \subseteq T$

- (6)
 - a. English past tense relations: $T < S$ and $E_e \subseteq T$ or $E_s \subseteq T$ or $T \subseteq E_s$
 - b. English simple present: $T = S$ and $T \subseteq E$

- more to say about (morphosyntactic) present tense and uses (= semantic present)